Appendix K

1.1 STATISTICAL ASSESSMENT

The predicted serum levels using the McLachlan-based multi-pathway model have been analysed together with the data from the MfE serum survey to examine which age and gender groups are most likely to show a statistically significant increase in 2,3,7,8-TCDD concentrations in serum lipid. This analysis has focused on calculating the 95% confidence interval for the difference between the mean of the Paritutu group under consideration and the mean results for the MfE survey for the same group. If the lower bound of this 95% confidence interval exceeds zero, the mean concentration for the Paritutu group exceeds the mean concentration for the corresponding MfE group, at the 95% confidence level. That is, an "excess" level of 2,3,7,8-TCDD is indicated.

For this assessment, the top 10 predicted serum concentrations were selected for each age/gender group and the 95% confidence interval was calculated for the top 4, top 5 etc up to the top 10 concentrations. These calculations were set up so that a factor could be applied to the predicted serum concentrations, increasing or decreasing all of these from the McLachlan based predictions. This factor was adjusted to give the smallest percentage of the McLachlan concentrations consistent with the lower 95% confidence interval exceeding 0 for at least some of the groups of top concentrations.

Similar calculations were done for most of the age and gender groups, but omitting the highest of the McLachlan predictions. This was done because, for most of the groups, the single highest result was substantially larger than the remainder, probably because different exposure pathways are significant for these candidates, and can therefore reasonably be considered to be part of a different statistical population.

The table also shows the predicted 'ranking' of last candidate to be included in the analysis group. Different ranking scales were used for males and females.

Table 1 shows a summary of this analysis.

		Highest	% of McLachlan	Minimum No.	M/F
Age range	Gender	single	for lower 95% CI	of candidates	Ranking
(in 1997)	Gender	result	of difference of	required in	of Last
		result	means>0	group	Candidate
64+	Male	included	None	-	-
64+	Male	excluded	38%	4	13
64+	Female	included	110%	10	16
64+	Female	excluded	28%	4	7
50-64	Male	included	23%	4	8
50-64	Male	excluded	Not asse	essed	-
50-64	Female	included	35%	9	29
50-64	Female	excluded	24%	4	18
35-49	Male	included	80%	9	40
35-49	Male	excluded	33%	8	37
35-49	Female	included	32%	5	25
35-49	Female	excluded	16%	4	25

If the variability in the McLachlan-based predictions is a reasonable indication of the variability of the samples from the candidates, the smallest percentages and minimum number of candidates required in the group indicates the groups for which significant differences from the MfE survey means are likely to be found. On this basis, males in the 50-64 age group are those most likely to show statistically significant "excess" 2,3,7,8-TCDD. Women in the 64+ age group are about as likely as the male 50-64 age group to show a statistically significant "excess", particularly if the single very high top result is omitted.

It is proposed that the best strategy would be to select the top 10 females in the 64+ age group and the top 10 males in the 50-64 age group. Because of public interest, it would probably also be necessary to include the top male in the 64+ age group, because the three predicted concentration is so much higher than for other candidates.

This would then leave some samples out of a 25 first cut available for quality control, and perhaps some other selected individuals.

We must be cautious about placing too much weight on the variability indicated by the multipathway modelling, combined with the toxicokinetic model. The input parameters for the multi-pathway modelling (particularly the extent to which each exposure pathway applies) are at best approximate and the actual variability might be considerably greater than estimated here. However, the variability seems not unreasonable, since it is at a similar level on a percentage basis to that estimated for the MfE serum survey. This does appear to be the best approach to selecting first cut candidates.

MORE DETAILED OUT PUT FROM THE STATISTICAL 2. ANALYSIS

Male	MfE group	65+						Diffe	erence i	n Mea	in
	Adju	ustmen	t factor	1.0			95% CI of mean			+/- 9	5% CI
Candidate	No in Sample	Rank	TCDD	mean	stdev	SE	min value	Diff in Means	SE of Diff	min	max
1057	1	1	134	134.4				131.4	0.1		
1360	2	4	29	81.7	74.4	52.6		78.7	52.6		
1154	3	7	20	61.1	63.6	36.7		58.1	36.7		
1550	4	11	16	49.7	56.7	28.4	-40.5	46.7	28.4	-44	137
1136	5	13	13	42.4	51.8	23.2	-21.9	39.4	23.2	-25	104
1455	6	19	12	37.3	48.0	19.6	-13.1	34.3	19.6	-16	85
1709	7	21	11	33.5	44.9	17.0	-8.1	30.5	17.0	-11	72
1151	8	25	8	30.3	42.6	15.0	-5.3	27.3	15.0	-8	63
1474	9	28	8	27.8	40.5	13.5	-3.3	24.8	13.5	-6	56
1705	10	29	7	25.8	38.7	12.2	-1.9	22.8	12.2	-5	50

	Excluding	first va		Diffe	n Mea	lean					
	Adju	ustmen	t factor	0.38			95% CI of mean	Diff in Means	SE of Diff	+/- 9	5% CI
Candidate	No in Sample	Rank	TCDD	mean	stdev	SE	min value			min	max
1360	1	4	29	11.1				8.0	0.1		
1154	2	7	20	9.3	2.5	1.8		6.3	1.8		
1550	3	11	16	8.2	2.6	1.5		5.1	1.5		
1136	4	13	13	7.4	2.7	1.3	3.1	4.3	1.3	0.1	8.6
1455	5	19	12	6.8	2.7	1.2	3.5	3.8	1.2	0.5	7.1
1709	6	21	11	6.3	2.6	1.1	3.6	3.3	1.1	0.5	6.1
1151	7	25	8	5.9	2.7	1.0	3.4	2.9	1.0	0.3	5.4
1474	8	28	8	5.5	2.7	1.0	3.2	2.5	1.0	0.2	4.8
1705	9	29	7	5.2	2.7	0.9	3.2	2.2	0.9	0.1	4.3
0	10	29	0	5.2	2.7	0.8	3.3	2.2	0.9	0.3	4.1

MfE Male group

50-64

Difference in Mean

	Adj	ustmen	t factor	tor 0.23				95% CI of mean			
Candidate	No in Sample	Rank	TCDD	mean	stdev	SE	min value	Diff in Means	SE of Diff	min	max
1567	1	3	28	6.4				3.9	0.1		
1314	2	5	20	5.4	1.3	0.9		2.9	1.0		
1046	3	6	19	5.1	1.1	0.7		2.5	0.7		
1106	4	8	14	4.6	1.3	0.6	2.6	2.1	0.7	0.0	4.2
1147	5	9	14	4.3	1.3	0.6	2.7	1.8	0.6	0.2	3.4
1047	6	10	16	4.2	1.2	0.5	3.0	1.7	0.5	0.5	3.0
1030	7	12	13	4.1	1.2	0.4	3.0	1.5	0.5	0.4	2.6
1261	8	14	13	3.9	1.2	0.4	3.0	1.4	0.4	0.4	2.4
1265	9	16	10	3.8	1.2	0.4	2.8	1.2	0.4	0.3	2.2
1368	10	18	10	3.6	1.2	0.4	2.7	1.1	0.4	0.2	2.0

Candidate	No in Sample	Rank	TCDD	mean	stdev	SE	min value	Diff in Means	SE of Diff	min	max
1687	1	15	11	3.5				1.8	0.1		
1447	2	17	10	3.4	0.2	0.1		1.6	0.2		
1674	3	22	9	3.2	0.3	0.2		1.5	0.2		
1386	4	30	6	2.9	0.6	0.3	1.9	1.2	0.3	0.1	2.3
1672	5	31	6	2.8	0.7	0.3	1.9	1.0	0.3	0.1	1.9
1596	6	32	6	2.6	0.7	0.3	1.9	0.8	0.3	0.0	1.6
1466	7	34	5	2.5	0.7	0.3	1.8	0.7	0.3	0.0	1.4
1526	8	37	5	2.4	0.7	0.3	1.8	0.6	0.3	0.0	1.3
1294	9	40	4	2.3	0.7	0.2	1.7	0.5	0.3	-0.1	1.2
1135	10	47	4	2.2	0.8	0.2	1.7	0.4	0.3	-0.2	1.0

mean stdev SE

17.1 12.1

8.2

6.2

5.2

4.4

3.8

3.4

14.2

12.5

11.6

10.8

10.1

9.6

32.8

20.7

16.4

14.1

12.3

11.0

10.1

9.4

1526 9 37 5 9.1 1.8 7.1 8.8 3.0 1294 40 4 10 8.3 8.8 2.8 2.0 6.5 Excluding first value as an outlier **Difference in Mean** 95% CI Adjustment factor 0.33 of mean Diff in SE of No in min TCDD mean stdev SE Candidate Rank

Difference in Mean

0.1

8.2

6.2

5.2

4.4

3.8

3.4

3.0

2.8

12.1

Diff in SE of

Means Diff

31.1

18.9

14.7

12.3

10.5

9.3

8.4

7.6

+/- 95% CI

max

32.2

24.9

20.6

17.7

15.7

14.1

12.8

min

-7.5

-3.8

-2.0

-1.0

-0.4

0.03

0.3

+/- 95% CI

95% CI

of mean

min

value

-5.8

-2.1

-0.3

0.7

1.4

35-49

Rank

2

15

17

22

30

31

32

34

Adjustment factor 0.80

TCDD

41

11

10

9

6

6

6

5

MfE Male group

Candidate

1058

1687

1447

1674

1386

1672

1596

1466

No in

Sample

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Female	MfE group	65+						Diffe	erence	in Mea	an
	Adjustme factor	ent	1.10				95% CI of mean			+/- 9	5% CI
Candidate	No in Sample	Rank	TCDD	mean	stdev	SE	min value	Diff in Means	SE of Diff	min	max
1056	1	1	250	250				244.4	0.4		
1155	2	3	66	174	144	102		167.9	102		
1137	3	5	39	130	126	73		124.4	73		
1593	4	6	38	108	112	56	-70.7	102.2	56	-76.6	281
1614	5	7	36	94	102	46	-32.4	88.4	46	-38.3	215
1448	6	9	28	84	95	39	-15.8	77.9	39	-21.7	177
1513	7	10	27	76	89	34	-6.3	70.1	34	-12.2	152
1172	8	11	25	70	84	30	-0.3	64.1	30	-6.2	134
1574	9	12	25	65	80	27	3.8	59.3	27	-2.2	121
1385	10	16	22	61	77	24	6.5	55.3	24	0.5	110
	Excluding		value a	s an oi	ıtlier				erence	in Mea	an
	Adjustme		value a	s an ou 0.28	ıtlier			Diff in	SE of		
	Adjustme factor		value a		ıtlier		mean				an 5% Cl
Candidate	Adjustme	ent				SE		Diff in	SE of		
Candidate 1155	Adjustme factor No in	ent		0.28		SE	mean min	Diff in	SE of	+/- 9	5% CI
	Adjustme factor No in Sample	Rank	TCDD	0.28 mean		SE 3.7	mean min	Diff in Means	SE of Diff	+/- 9	5% CI
1155	Adjustme factor No in Sample 1	Rank 3	TCDD 66	0.28 mean 18.4	stdev		mean min	Diff in Means 12.5	SE of Diff 0.4	+/- 9	5% CI
1155 1137	Adjustme factor No in Sample 1 2	Rank 3 5	TCDD 66 39	0.28 mean 18.4 14.7	stdev 5.3	3.7	mean min	Diff in Means 12.5 8.8	SE of Diff 0.4 3.7	+/- 9	5% CI
1155 1137 1593	Adjustme factor No in Sample 1 2 3	Rank 3 5 6	TCDD 66 39 38	0.28 mean 18.4 14.7 13.3	stdev 5.3 4.4	3.7 2.5	mean min value	Diff in Means 12.5 8.8 7.4	SE of Diff 0.4 3.7 2.6	+/- 9! min	5% CI max
1155 1137 1593 1614	Adjustme factor No in Sample 1 2 3 4	Rank 3 5 6 7	TCDD 66 39 38 36	0.28 mean 18.4 14.7 13.3 12.5	stdev 5.3 4.4 4.0	3.7 2.5 2.0	mean min value 6.2	Diff in Means 12.5 8.8 7.4 6.6	SE of Diff 0.4 3.7 2.6 2.0	+/- 9 min 0.1	5% CI max 13
1155 1137 1593 1614 1448	Adjustme factor No in Sample 1 2 3 4 5	Rank 3 5 6 7 9	TCDD 66 39 38 36 28	0.28 mean 18.4 14.7 13.3 12.5 11.6	stdev 5.3 4.4 4.0 4.0	3.7 2.5 2.0 1.8	mean min value 6.2 6.6	Diff in Means 12.5 8.8 7.4 6.6 5.6	SE of Diff 0.4 3.7 2.6 2.0 1.8	+/- 9 ! min 0.1 0.6	5% CI max 13 11
1155 1137 1593 1614 1448 1513	Adjustme factor No in Sample 1 2 3 4 5 6	Rank 3 5 6 7 9 10	TCDD 66 39 38 36 28 27	0.28 mean 18.4 14.7 13.3 12.5 11.6 10.9	stdev 5.3 4.4 4.0 4.0 3.9	3.7 2.5 2.0 1.8 1.6	mean min value 6.2 6.6 6.8	Diff in Means 12.5 8.8 7.4 6.6 5.6 5.0	SE of Diff 0.4 3.7 2.6 2.0 1.8 1.7	+/- 99 min 0.1 0.6 0.7	5% CI max 13 11 9
1155 1137 1593 1614 1448 1513 1172	Adjustme factor No in Sample 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Rank 3 5 6 7 9 10 11	TCDD 66 39 38 36 28 27 25	0.28 mean 18.4 14.7 13.3 12.5 11.6 10.9 10.4	stdev 5.3 4.4 4.0 4.0 3.9 3.9	3.7 2.5 2.0 1.8 1.6 1.5	mean min value 6.2 6.6 6.8 6.8	Diff in Means 12.5 8.8 7.4 6.6 5.6 5.0 4.4	SE of Diff 0.4 3.7 2.6 2.0 1.8 1.7 1.5	+/- 99 min 0.1 0.6 0.7 0.7	5% CI max 13 11 9 8

Female	MfE group	50-64						Diff	erence	in Mea	an
Adjustment factor		0.35				95% CI of mean			+/- 9	5% CI	
Candidate	No in Sample	Rank	TCDD	mean	stdev	SE	min value	Diff in Means	SE of Diff	min	max
1627	1	2	90.4	31.6				28.1	0.4		
1031	2	8	31.4	21.3	14.6	10.3		17.8	10.3		
1145	3	13	23.3	16.9	12.8	7.4		13.4	7.4		
1358	4	15	22.3	14.6	11.4	5.7	-3.5	11.1	5.7	-7.1	29.3
1702	5	18	21.3	13.2	10.4	4.6	0.3	9.6	4.7	-3.3	22.6
1114	6	20	20.4	12.2	9.6	3.9	2.1	8.6	3.9	-1.5	18.8
1048	7	22	18.4	11.4	9.0	3.4	3.0	7.8	3.4	-0.6	16.2
1440	8	24	16.6	10.7	8.6	3.0	3.5	7.1	3.1	-0.1	14.4
1008	9	29	15.1	10.1	8.2	2.7	3.7	6.5	2.8	0.1	12.9
1134	10	36	13.1	9.5	8.0	2.5	3.8	6.0	2.5	0.2	11.7

	Excluding		alue as			Difference in Mean					
	Adjustmen factor						95% CI of mean	Diff in Means	SE of Diff	+/- 9	5% CI
Candidate	No in Sample	Rank	TCDD	mean	stdev	SE	min value			min	max
1031	1	8	31.4	7.5				4.0	0.4		
1145	2	13	23.3	6.6	1.4	1.0		3.0	1.0		
1358	3	15	22.3	6.2	1.2	0.7		2.6	0.8		
1702	4	18	21.3	5.9	1.1	0.6	4.1	2.3	0.7	0.2	4.5
1114	5	20	20.4	5.7	1.1	0.5	4.4	2.1	0.6	0.5	3.8
1048	6	22	18.4	5.5	1.1	0.4	4.3	1.9	0.6	0.5	3.4
1440	7	24	16.6	5.3	1.1	0.4	4.2	1.7	0.6	0.3	3.1
1008	8	29	15.1	5.1	1.2	0.4	4.1	1.5	0.6	0.2	2.8
1134	9	36	13.1	4.9	1.3	0.4	3.9	1.3	0.6	0.0	2.6
0	10	36	0.0	4.9	1.3	0.4	3.9	1.3	0.5	0.1	2.5

Female	MfE group 3	35-49						Diffe	rence	in Me	an		
	Adjustmei factor	nt	0.32				95% CI of mean				5% CI		
Candidate	No in Sample	Rank	TCDD	mean	stdev	SE	min value	Diff in Means	SE of Diff	min	max		
1059	1	4	54.0	17.3				15.1	0.1				
1729	2	14	23.2	12.3	7.0	4.9		10.2	4.9				
1591	3	17	21.8	10.5	5.8	3.4		8.4	3.4				
1319	4	19	20.6	9.6	5.2	2.6	1.4	7.4	2.6	-0.8	15.6		
1402	5	25	16.3	8.7	4.9	2.2	2.6	6.5	2.2	0.5	12.6		
1039	6	30	15.0	8.0	4.6	1.9	3.2	5.9	1.9	1.0	10.8		
1069	7	33	13.7	7.5	4.4	1.7	3.4	5.4	1.7	1.3	9.5		
1728	8	35	13.6	7.1	4.3	1.5	3.5	5.0	1.5	1.4	8.6		
1331	9	37	13.0	6.8	4.1	1.4	3.6	4.7	1.4	1.5	7.8		
0	10	37	0.0	6.8	4.1	1.3	3.8	4.7	1.3	1.7	7.6		
	Excluding	first va	lue as a	n outlie	er		Diffe	erence ir	n Mean				
	Adjustme	nt	0.16			95% CI							
factor 0.16					of mean +/- 95% Cl								

factor					of mean					+/- 95% Cl			
	Candidate	No in Sample	Rank	TCDD	mean	stdev	SE	min value	Diff in Means	SE of Diff	min	max	
	1729	1	14	23.2	3.7				1.6	0.1			
	1591	2	17	21.8	3.6	0.2	0.1		1.5	0.2			
	1319	3	19	20.6	3.5	0.2	0.1		1.4	0.2			
	1402	4	25	16.3	3.3	0.5	0.2	2.5	1.1	0.3	0.3	2.0	
	1039	5	30	15.0	3.1	0.6	0.3	2.4	1.0	0.3	0.2	1.7	
	1069	6	33	13.7	2.9	0.6	0.3	2.3	0.8	0.3	0.1	1.5	
	1728	7	35	13.6	2.8	0.6	0.2	2.2	0.7	0.3	0.0	1.4	
	1331	8	37	13.0	2.7	0.7	0.2	2.2	0.6	0.3	0.0	1.2	
	0	9	37	0.0	2.7	0.7	0.2	2.2	0.6	0.2	0.0	1.2	
	0	10	37	0.0	2.7	0.7	0.2	2.3	0.6	0.2	0.1	1.1	